بِنْ مِلْكُهُ الْكُمْنِ ٱلرَّحِي مِ

The Story of Muʻādh b. Jabal's Prostration to the Prophet (مَا اللهُ عَلَيْهِ وَسَلَمًا)

By al-ʿAllāmah, al-Shaykh Rabīʿ b. Hādī al-Madkahlī Translated by Abū al-Ḥasan Mālik al-Akhḍar

قال الإمام ابن ماجه رحمه الله: حدثنا أزهر بن مروان، حدثنا حماد بن زيد ، عن أيوب، عن القاسم الشيباني، عن عبد الله بن أبي أوف، قال : لما قدم معاذ من الشام, سجد للنبي صلى الله عليه وسلم، قال: ما هذا يا معاذ ؟قال: أتيت الشام, فوافقتهم يسجدون لأساقفتهم وبطارقتهم، فوددت في نفسي أن نفعل ذلك بك، فقال رسول الله صلى الله عليه وسلم: ((فلا تفعلوا، فإني لو كنت آمرا أحدا أن يسجد لغير الله، لأمرت المرأة أن تسجد لزوجها، والذي نفس محمد بيده، لا تؤدي المرأة حق ربحا حتى تؤدي حق زوجها، ولو سألها نفسها وهي على قتب لم تمنعه)).

Regarding this narration, *al-'Allāmah*, *al-Shaykh* Rabī' b. Hādī al-Madkhalī said: "The Ḥadīth of Mu'ādh, regarding his prostration to the Prophet (ﷺ), is not authentic, not in its chain of narration, nor its meaning.

- 1. As for its meaning, it has not been established that he (i.e. Muʿadh) went to Sham during the life of the Prophet (المالة المالة). Rather, what is established is that he went to Sham during the time of 'Umar b. Khaṭṭāb and died there during the Plague. And in another Ḥadīth, it states, "...when he returned from Yemen..." However, [Muʿadh] did not go to Yemen until the end of the Prophet's (المالة المالة الما
- 2. As for its chain of transmission, it contains *Nakārah* (anomalousness), and revolves around [the narrator] al-Qāṣim b. Awf al-Shaybānī. Yaḥyā b. Saʿīd al-Qaṭṭān rules him weak [in narration],

along with Shu'bah, as indicated by al- Qaṭṭān. Abū Ḥātim said: "Muḍṭarib al-Ḥadīth*; I consider him truthful." Al-Nasā'ī said: "He is weak." Ibn Ḥibbān mentioned him in al-Thiqāt. In al-Kāshif, al-Dhahabī said: "There is difference of opinion concerning his condition." Al-Ḥāfiẓ [Ibn Ḥajr] said: "Truthful, narrates independent of others." Refer to his biography in Tahdhīb al-Tahdhīb (8/326-327), al-Kāmil by Ibn 'Adiy (6/37), al-Mīzān by al-Dhahabī (3/376), al-Kāshif by al-Dhahabī, and al-Taqrīb by al-Ḥāfiẓ b. Ḥajr.

Aḥmad collects this Ḥadīth (4/381) by way of Ismā'īl b. 'Ulayyah, on authority of Ayyūb, from al-Qāsim b. Awf al-Shaybānī, on authority of 'Abd Allāh b. Abū Awfa who said: "Mu'ādh went to Yemen, or he said Sham, and saw the Christians prostrating to their patricians and bishops, so he considered to himself that the Prophet had more right to be honored. [The Prophet] (مَا اللهُ اللهُ عَلَيْهُ اللهُ ا

Aḥmad also relates it on authority of Wakī', from al-'Amash, from Abū Zibyān, on authority of Mu'ādh b. Jabal, who said: "O Messenger of Allāh, I saw men in Yemen prostrating to one another, so should we not prostrate to you?" He replied: "If I were to command any person to prostrate to another person, I would command the woman to prostrate to her husband."

And he relates it by way of Ibn Numayr, who said, I heard Abū Zibyān narrate on authority of a person from the *Anṣār*, from Muʿādh with a similar meaning. (*al-Musnad 4/277*)

So the Ḥadīth, by way of al-Qāsim and Abū Zibyān, does not mention that Muʿādh prostrated to the Prophet (ﷺ); rather, it merely mentions the notion of prostrating to the Prophet (ﷺ), and his rejection of that.

This is what is found in these two wordings, and with that, the narration, of al-Qāsim has been graded defective by Abū Ḥātim due to disruption. Refer to *al-'Ilal* by his son (2/253). Likewise, al-Dāraquṭnī found the Ḥadīth of Abū Ṭibyān defective due to discordance in his chain, as well as being broken, because Abū Ṭibyān did not hear [directly] from Mu'ādh. See *al-'Ilal* (6/39-40).

Concerning the statement that Muʻādh prostrated to the Prophet (عَالَيْكُ), it is collected by Ibn Mājah (no. 1853), Ibn Ḥibbān in his Ṣaḥāḥ (no. 4171), and al-Bayhaqī (7/292), with chains on Ḥammād b. Zayd, on authority of al-Qāsim al-Shaybānī, from 'Abd Allāh b. Abū Awfa. These chains revolve around al-Qāsim al-Shaybānī. Al-Dāraquṭnī mentions in al-'Ilal (6/37-39) that it has other chains, some that have preceded; and from them, on the authority of [al-Qāsim], on Zayd b. Arqam, on authority of Muʻādh; also, on [al-Qāsim], on authority of 'Abd al-Raḥmān b. Abū Layla, on his father, on authority of Muʻādh; also, on authority of 'Abd al-Raḥmān b. Abū Layla, on his father, on Ṣuhayb, on authority of Muʻādh. [Al- Dāraquṭnī] then says: "The disruption in it is from al-Qāsim b. Awf."

So this is the condition of this Ḥadīth which has been attributed to Muʿādh; it contains a number of weaknesses:

^{* [}TN] *al-Mudṭarib* is a category of Ḥadīth which is reported through different chains of narrators with equal degree of strength, and it is not possible to give preponderance to any particular narration.

First: The weakness of al-Qāsim b. Awf al-Shaybānī Second: The disruption in the chains of narration

Third: The discordance in the text

Fourth: The break in the chain of Abū Zibyān between him and Muʿādh

Fifth: The *ikhtilāf*, and we find it far-fetched that the likes of this would take place with the noble companion, the *faqīh*, Muʿādh b. Jabal (ﷺ).

And what is like this is not foundation for an Islamic ruling, let alone a matter of creed.

As for the Ḥadīth: "If I were to command any person to prostrate to another person…" it is authentic, Allāh willing, by means of the gathering of its chains, on authority of Abū Hurayrah, Anas, and 'A'ishah. Refer to *al-Irwa* by *al-'Allāmah* al-Albānī (7/54–55).*

യെ ഉ

^{*} See http://www.sahab.net/home/?p=727